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    Mr. William Shay
    Westervelt, Johnson, Nicoll \& Keller
    411 Hamilton Boulevard
    Peoria, Illinois 61602
    on behalf of North Pana Solar, LLC
    Ms. Sandra K. Haines, Court Reporter,
    CSR No. 084-002423
    CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Let's get started.
    We will have a roll-call of the members of the
    Zoning Board of Appeals that are present.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Jim Overholt.
    CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Adrian Adcock.
    MS. ADCOCK: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Dave Copenbarger.
    MR. COPENBARGER: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Len Corzine.
    MR. CORZINE: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Joe Dorr.
    MR. DORR: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Glen Goodrich.
    MR. GOODRICH: Here.
    MR. BLAKE TARR: Joann Howard.
    MS. HOWARD: Here.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I would like to announce that we have a new $Z B A$ member with us tonight. His name is Len Corzine. Len is taking the place of Gary Merker on the Zoning Board of Appeals Board.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Welcome Len.
MR. CORZINE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: First item of business, approve the minutes from the October 24 th, 2023 meeting held at 6:00 P.M.

MR. GOODRICH: I make a motion to approve the minutes.

MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger, second.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: We have a motion that was made and seconded to approve the minutes from October 24 th, 2023 meeting that was held at 6:00 P.M. Voice vote can be used on this, so let's do this. All in favor accepting the minutes say aye.

CHRISTIAN COUNTY ZBA MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Opposed. Motion
passes.

The first item of business this evening is a zoning special use application, Sangchris Energy Center, LLC. Is the application complete?

MR. BLAKE TARR: Yes.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Has the filing fee been paid in full?

MR. BLAKE TARR: Yes, it has. Just to recap the parcel numbers that are affected by this application is 15-11-26-200-001-00 and 15-11-26-200-003-00. The address is near the corner of County Road 1400 North and County Road 150 East. The reason again for the special use application is that the Sangchris Energy Center, LLC is requesting approval for a special use permit for the construction of a stand alone battery energy storage system. The system will be utilized to store excess energy produced at times of low demand to be used during times of high demand, and to provide various stability and reliability benefits to the localized electrical grid along with several other beneficial use cases. It will become an essential component of electrical grid by
increasing grid stability and reliability in the future with more intermittent electrical generation. In severe weather events this system will also help replace generation capacity lost at the Kincaid Power Station when it shuts down in 2027 .

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Are there any
questions from the Board?
At this time we will take any public comments regarding this proposal. As a reminder, please address the Board Chairman, state your name, and you will have three minutes to speak.

Ma'am, would you like to speak?
MS. CAROLYN RANDALL: Carolyn Randall.
I would like to know if we are -- if there is anyone else in this situation with us? I mean are there any other places, sites that this is doing, that they are doing this, having these storage, Lithium storage units? Are we it? Are we the one and only, or are there any others? Are we going to be first?

Also what's the impact if we have like a minor earthquake with this? Will we be liable?

I mean would we see a fire that would never get put out?

As a neighbor that lives just north of this, and the prevailing winds are always coming from the south, and why are we the guinea pigs? That's what $I$ look at it like. We are the guinea pigs.

Anybody got any comment on that? Are we the guinea pigs? Are we the one and only?

MR. WILL FROST: May I comment?
MR. BLAKE TARR: Is this for question and answer, or is this for the Board to consider for questioning?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: This is for the Board to consider.

MS. MARY BARRY: So, you are just taking comments tonight?

MS. CAROLYN RANDALL: That's my concerns. Those are some of my concerns.

MR. BLAKE TARR: With the Board hearing that hopefully they will use those questions when we get to that portion to address those.

MS. CAROLYN RANDALL: Okay. Well, that and the fact that $I$ am just north of this, and I
have buildings out there. I do not want to have something that is on fire and can't be put out because that makes me nervous, and you know, pretty soon $I$ might have something of my own on fire because this is on fire. This is, I think, a health hazard. I also see it as a health hazard. It is going to pollute our atmosphere. It is going to affect the air we breathe and the water we drink. I would like people to think about that.

This one farmer is going to make a fast buck, good for him, but how about the rest of us? Are we going to sacrifice our health so he can have a fast buck? Okay.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Thank you very
much. The Board has heard the testimony.
Is there anybody else that would like to speak in opposition?

MR. CARL SPENGLER: I have a couple questions. The other night you had the Fire Chief, head of the Fire Department from Kincaid. Is this in the Kincaid Fire District?

MR. WILL FROST: Midland Fire District.

MR. CARL SPENGLER: It is not in Pawnee Fire District?

MS. ADCOCK: It is both.
MR. CARL SPENGLER: Couple questions, the other night the guy gave all of the pros on the fire protection and stuff, but I never did hear a negative. There has got to be some negatives on the type of fires and what can happen and what can't happen. I mean, you know, we are talking about a pretty good size facility, and $I$ know, like $I$ say the Lithium batteries get on fire, and it is kind of hard to put them out.

You are going to have 45 acres, and the question that $I$ have we just had 3.5 earthquake in Standard, Illinois. What happens to this facility if the earthquake hits under it? What would you do if it had a 3.5 earthquake hit under it? That's the question that $I$ have.

We are in, like I said the other night we are in this fault. It goes all of the way to Tennessee. I mean we are in that fault.

I am not, you know, I have got mixed emotion about this. I mean $I$ think it is short
term. I think what you are putting in ten years from now it will be obsolete, and then what are you going to do with it? I mean you got concrete sitting out there. You got -- are you going to -- $I$ know south of my house number 10 coal mine was in there. When they sold it out, they were supposed to put that all back like it was originally. Well, you know, that don't never happen. You know, I mean you never put anything back originally.

The question $I$ have, couple questions is
what happens if they come back and re-mine all this coal? Everybody says it can't happen, but you know. My oldest son is a mine engineer, and he says everybody says they have already mined it once, they won't mine it again. He says that's not true. He said they come in a long wall miner and drop everything. There is a thing a lot of people don't realize. I think I said it the other day. There is five layers of coal out there where we are at. They are mining at the fifth seam where it is 300 some feet deep.

```
Just the other day I went west of my house,
```

and I already got, I notice I already have a mine sink coming in, a big one coming in west of my house.

My neighbor over there he is about ready to lose his house because they come out and surveyed it, and the shed fell five inches on account of mine subsidence.

I mean all this has been mined out there. I don't know whether you guys went in and cored it yet or not, check to see if it has been mined.

Another thing $I$ done, probably no people in the county don't know, $I$ ended up buying all my coal rights back from the county. I own all of the property.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Sir, I believe your three minutes has expired. Could you wind up, please.

MR. CARL SPENGLER: All right. I said enough.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Thank you very much.

Any further questions from the Board?
MR. DORR: I have a couple questions
since $I$ missed the last meeting.
So, how soon do you guys propose that this would happen if everything went according to your plan?

MR. WILL FROST: Could I just do a quick five minute recap, go through my slides to help?

MR. DORR: Sure. I don't have a problem.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Would that be okay?
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Sure. Go right
ahead.
MR. WILL FROST: Good evening. My name
is Will Frost. I am a project developer with
East Point Energy. We are the sole owners of
the Sangchris Energy Center. I presented
initially back at the end of October.
Just to briefly go through a quick little
recap of that presentation, highlight some of the main points of information that $I$ think would be helpful for those who were not in attendance.

To provide a brief summary of the application the applicant is the Sangchris

Energy Center, LLC, which is a wholly owned company by, project level company owned by East Point Energy. We are also requesting an extension to the approval of the permit through the end of 2027, which $I$ will briefly explain later in the recap of the slides.

As noted, we are looking to permit 45 acres, but only expect to develop on approximately 30 acres given our current site plan. The property is zoned agricultural, and we are deemed similar to a solar field or a wind farm requiring the special use permit.

I will skip through the description of East Point Energy unless there are any questions from members of the Board in the future.

What is grid connected energy storage, briefly stated in the explanation of the application we are a system that will be plugged directly into the electrical transmission lines that run northwest to southeast across Christian County. The system will be used to store energy coming off of those transmission lines to then be put back on the electrical grid. There are several reasons and needs for this type of
resource on our electrical grid, which $I$ would be happy to explain further.

We are utilizing a proven technology in the Lithium ion battery, one that creates no emissions and minimal noise as is proven by all of the devices we use in our daily lives that utilize this Lithium ion technology.

There are several benefits, I will not belabor this slide too long, first and foremost being the securing of the renewable energy future that our country has committed to as well as the State of Illinois along with the several stability and reliability benefits the systems provide for more of an electrical engineering perspective, which I am happy to get into as much detail as desired.

Some little news stories that are not necessary to sit on for now, the description of the project itself we intend for it to be a 300 megawatt system with a four hour duration, so 1,200 megawatt hours of volume. We have a 35 year -- we have an option to lease the property from the current landowner. That lease structure is a 35 year lease with the
possibility of extending that two additional five year periods, and that lease will be executed upon the construction of the project. We plan to start construction so long as current time lines hold the first half of 2027 with construction completing in the first half of 2028. That timeline is largely dependent on the mid continent independent system operators interconnection feasibility study, which is a study we need to submit the project to to understand what needs to occur in order for the system to be able to connect to that transmission line.

We have some ongoing risks that we are continuing to mitigate, first and foremost being the Peabody Mine that our project is sitting on top of. I have a slide at the end of this for a brief update on some conversations that $I$ have had on that over the last month. We are working with the neighboring landowners and looking to amend our current lease agreement with our, with the landowner, which $I$ have another update on as well, to ensure that the current drainage characteristics
of the property are maintained, and that the neighbors to the east are still, they do not see any changes to the drainage characteristics of their property.

As well as a fire safety plan, I have Eric Wood here who was with me last month as a member of the Energy Safety Response Group. They are one of the leading companies in the country right now in the development of the safety standards for these systems, and he is here to help answer any questions we have around the fire safety planning of the system. Here is an overview of the general location. We are looking at about three miles south of the retiring Kincaid Power Station nestled in, just about in between the two solar projects, which have already been permitted in the County as well.

This is the site plan that we are
requesting our permit for. It is the 45 acres composed of two major components, one being the point of interconnection seen in the top right corner. That would be the substation like infrastructure that would be plugging directly
into the transmission line as well as the battery system itself in the remaining portion of the site plan here, which would include a project level collectors substation as well as the battery enclosures themselves and any inverter technologies that are needed to transform the energy from a storable state to one that can be pushed through a transmission line.

This is a rendering of the project that we contracted with a civil engineering company to create for us. It is our current understanding of the site plan. There are several things that could happen that would cause this to change, which is our motive to ask for a permit for the full 45 acres that we have an option to lease despite our current understanding of only needing to develop around 30 acres of that 45.

I am happy to come back to this and speak to any individual components or portions of the site plan.

Another slide that is worthy of much more than just a quick recap, so $I$ will leave the fire safety planning to any questions from the

Board, but $I$ would like to reiterate the fact that these systems are monitored by -- these systems have a battery management system, which is connected to a larger computerized system, which is constantly collecting data and being monitored by a person on the other end of the chain, meaning that we are constantly collecting data about the current state of the system, and we can speak much more to that.

We look to have, and we do currently have good relationships with both the Midland and Pawnee Fire Departments. I have spoken to both departments, and given a similar presentation to both, and was able to get Eric in front of the Midland Fire Department at the end of last month as well, and they have all of our contact information, and we look forward continuing to work with them as we continue to develop the project, and work through emergency response plans and any necessary planning that needs to occur prior to the project's construction. Now, as two quick updates from over the last month, the first is a very important conversation that $I$ was able to have with the

Illinois Department of Natural Resources and an individual who has worked on this mine from a regulatory perspective for the last 20 plus years. I was very lucky to get his contact information and be able to have an in depth conversation with him about the mine, and $I$ won't get into too many details on that just yet. I will wait until we get to some question and answer, but he is just about the best contact we are going to get for understanding the regulatory framework of this mine and understanding the future of potential additional mining as well as the current state and what kind of mitigation we can do to ensure that there is no, to ensure that there is no damaging subsidence to the facility.

And as $I$ have stated last month this is the forefront risk that we see on this project, and it is something we look to continue to evaluate upon an approved permit, and see if there are pathways to mitigate any risks, substantial risks that we uncover as we continue to evaluate the mine and the project's impact to it. On the item of drainage here is some
language that we have sent to our landowner for an amendment to the site lease. This would ensure that we -- this is language that holds us accountable to ensure that there are no impacts to the drainage, characteristics that are provided by our project site. We are committing ourself to a study before the project's construction and then again after to ensure that there have been no impacts and then studies to continue throughout the project's life to ensure that drainage is not impacted by studies by an impartial third party, and it provides assurances that that drainage, those drainage characteristics will not be altered. And if the system itself will change those characteristics, that we will find the appropriate solution. I will leave that at that.

Then just some final slides here, but I think that is a good recap of the presentation from last time, and $I$ will sit on the rendering and look to answer any questions. MR. DORR: I have a question. CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Thank you very much.

MR. DORR: Are these going to be new batteries or recycled electric vehicle batteries?

MR. WILL FROST: They will be new batteries.

MR. DORR: They are going to be an ion battery?

MR. WILL FROST: In all likelihood they will be a Lithium iron phosphate, Lithium iron phosphate battery. We are, technically we are a technology agnostic development company. So, we will use the best solution at the time we sign into work orders with a construction firm, but all indications point to Lithium iron phosphate as being the primary resource for these facilities by the time of the construction.

MR. DORR: So, currently that's the standard battery, or are they working on any kind of new batteries right now for the future?

MR. WILL FROST: From a Lithium perspective?

MR. DORR: Any kind of battery.
MR. WILL FROST: So, from a chemical perspective there is a potential future with
solid state Lithium ion batteries. I don't know a whole lot about those to offer you here this evening. It is a similar chemistry that is being utilized. It is simply a different format, a much lighter battery that will be used. My expectation is solid state would go to electric vehicles a lot more because of the weight characteristics of it, and Lithium iron phosphate would simply be more available for these commercial scale systems.

There are other kinds of batteries that are non-chemical such as utilizing abandoned mines as a place to store water or compress air. There are flow state batteries. There are more medium to long duration batteries. So, there are a lot of different types of technologies that can be used, and we are going -- the electrical grid will need some array of them all.

These Lithium ion batteries are ideal for the short duration, four to eight hour window, for energy storage; and the real benefit to that short duration is for utilization on any given day. So, taking energy that's being produced in
the middle of the day, but not being used, and extending that window of use for that generation most likely from solar to utilize in the later hours of the day.

MR. DORR: Okay. So, if this is not going to go, do you have a lease signed already?

MR. WILL FROST: We have an option to
lease. So, there is no -- we have not leased the property, but we have a drafted lease agreement that is an attachment to an option agreement we have with the landowner.

MR. DORR: How long is that option for?
MR. WILL FROST: The option is a five year option, which we signed back in 2022 .

MR. DORR: So, if this is not going to go and be up and running until 2027 or ' 28 , why are you doing all this now?

MR. WILL FROST: That is a great
question. So, the idea of construction beginning in ' 27 would fall within the five year time frame of our option agreement, and we would need to execute the option before construction. The reason for the timeline as $I$ was noting is the interconnection site. So, there are two
main risks we see with these projects when we pick an initial site.

One is a permitting risk, making sure that we can fit within the characteristics of a community, and get an approval from a board like yours for such a project. It is a time commitment that is well worth it to ensure the community is aware of the project, and ends up being comfortable with it at the end of the day. The longer time commitment is the interconnection study process, which historically is a process that should only take 12 months, but given the increase in electrical projects that have submitted themselves to the interconnection study as we see this transition occurring, the study is taking upwards of three to four years to complete. They are just finishing general interconnection agreements signifying the end of that study for projects submitted back in 2019.

MR. DORR: So, they can't do the study prior to approval from the Zoning Board?

MR. WILL FROST: That is a risk
assessment from the developers themselves like

East Point. The interconnection study is not a cheap study. It is a six to seven figure process just to submit your project to not really know what the final results are going to look like, and those final results can end a project's potential for being constructed. So, we see the zoning risk as one that we would like to move forward through before we submit that deposit for the interconnection study. We understand it is a good deal in the future, which is one of the things we look to to discuss in these conversations to ensure that we have the right tools in place to ensure that the project is at its best and still within the ordinance of the locality we are trying to build by the time we construct it. MR. DORR: How many other facilities like the lady was asking earlier are out there right now?

MR. WILL FROST: I can't give you a specific number. These energy storage systems have been being constructed and utilized across the country since the early 2000 s. These utility scale systems we are starting to see a
significant more development of in the past five to six years. If you look at the current interconnection study queue where we can see the portfolio of projects that are looking to connect to the grid, there is a large amount of storage projects that are working their way through the study going all of the way back to that 2019 study, which is currently being closed out. So, this project by the time we know it will be a real project there will be several more within the State of Illinois let alone across the country.

We are seeing pretty rapid deployment of them in the California market as well as down in Texas and up in the Northeast where we see several that have been constructed or approved for construction in New York City as well as Staten Island and certain areas up in the Northeast as well.

MR. DORR: Do you know of any other projects that failed to get the interconnection? MR. WILL FROST: So, it is not exactly a failure to get the interconnection. They have to provide the opportunity for you to connect to
the project. It is just a matter of how expensive it is going to be for you to do it. So, yes, I can't speak to any that have pulled out because of exorbitant interconnection costs.

MR. DORR: Not costs, just flat out
denied.
MR. WILL FROST: Flat out denied, no, that is not something that the independent system operator has the ability to do. They cannot flat out deny any customer from trying to interconnect to the grid.

MR. DORR: So, you are saying the risk of getting this done prior to outweighs the cost of getting this interconnection?

MR. WILL FROST: Correct.
MR. DORR: Just a couple other
questions.
As far as the fire hazard, like I read news stories of electric vehicles catching fire just from, spontaneous from the heat, and the local fire departments couldn't put them out because the batteries don't require oxygen to burn. So, what is going to be used in case of a fire? MR. WILL FROST: So, I won't go to you
just yet. I am going to give a stab at it first. This is exactly why Eric is here to help answer these questions, but to give the kind of base level evaluation from my side of things there are several national and international codes that are put in place for these particular systems. As you can see on this slide the NFPA855 is the standard safety code for these systems, which accounts for a rigorous amount of testing that the individual components of these facilities go through before they are even able to be installed, which accounts for the potential for thermal runaway, the potential for the propagation of any thermal runaway that does occur, and these standards have only gotten better and better over the last three to four years and even longer than that. I ramble a little bit.

MR. DORR: That's fine. I will just wait until the expert can answer those questions.

So, you say that your plan will, if the power goes out, it will run for four hours?

MR. WILL FROST: The system will be
operating even with the power not going out. I would not like the impression for you -- I would not like for you to have the impression that this system is here to stop blackouts. It is a four hour duration system that the main purpose is to extend the window of use, the window of time in which we can utilize solar energy resources or wind energy resources as well as provide a plethora of stability and reliability benefits to the grid to help prevent those blackouts from happening in the first place; but $I$ would not like you to have the impression that this system will prevent blackouts themselves, but yes, it will provide power for a four hour duration at its name plate capacity.

MR. DORR: Are these facilities
government funded subsidies?
MR. WILL FROST: There is an investment tax credit that was passed with the Inflation Reduction Act that offers a 30 percent tax incentive to these projects. That tax incentive is largely to counteract the supply, the cost we have seen, increased costs we have seen because
of supply chain concerns as well as inflation across the country. There are some additional tax benefits that projects have access to if they are located in the right places, being in this situation next to a retiring generation facility. There are unemployment standards for communities where if the community or county meets a certain unemployment standard, they receive an additional 10 percent incentive, and I am blanking on the third one, but there are some community specific incentives that can be offered as well.

MR. DORR: So, what is a projected cost of this project?

MR. WILL FROST: We are looking at more than likely a nine figure spend on this system itself.

MR. DORR: That's all that $I$ have.
MR. COPENBARGER: Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Go ahead.
MR. COPENBARGER: To follow up, Dave Copenbarger, to follow up on Joe's, I guess I am looking at Appendix $C$, this is a question to you, Mr. Frost. You have got a list of the projects that you are working or developing as like this one, but the only one that actually is running $I$ will call it is this Broken Bird Battery Energy System, is that correct? MR. WILL FROST: That is -MR. COPENBARGER: It is 8 megawatts. MR. WILL FROST: So, a brief history of East Point, when we were founded, we were founded as a development only company where we would develop projects to then be sold off to either a utility or a company who had the capital and the wherewithal to construct and operate them.

That eight megawatt hour system was kind of our proof of concept of our development strategy. It was a system that was developed in partnership with a local Virginia rural electrical cooperative. That is the only system that East Point has developed that is currently in the ground and operating.

There is another one on there the Dry Bridge Energy Center, which was our second marquee project that was sold to Dominion Energy, which is the largest utility in the

State of Virginia, which is currently under construction. A number of different delays in the construction of the system since it was handed off to them, and there are a number of others there that fell into that old phase of East Point.

As of last summer we were purchased by Equinor, which is a global oil and gas company out of Norway, and they are supporting our transition to becoming an independent power producer where we will construct, own, and operate these systems ourselves. I don't have any guarantee on when we are going to start having our own projects in the ground, but my expectation is by the end of next year we will have our first system that we will be operating ourselves, and it is only going to increase from there as we get more familiar with the development of these systems as well as the construction and the operation of them as well. MR. COPENBARGER: I should know this, but how big is this one that you are proposing for Christian County? MR. WILL FROST: 300 megawatts.

MR. COPENBARGER: Significantly larger than anything that's been built to date?

MR. WILL FROST: By East Point,
correct. By the time it is constructed that is not our expectation.

MS. ADCOCK: I have, Adrian Adcock, I
have several questions piggybacking on the other two gentlemen.

First, can we walk through the option lease
in a little more detail. What was originally
agreed to with the landowner?
MR. WILL FROST: It is an option to
lease the property. The option is a five year period in which we have the ability to explore the development of the property. We have no rights for ownership to the property during that, or the rights we do have to the property are the ability to access it for a certain, for certain studies that we need to conduct to ensure that a project can be built on the facility; utilize the address for applications like this here as well as the interconnection study application when that time comes; that an attachment to that option period is an agreed
upon site lease agreement with the landowner, which is that 35 year lease with two five year, possible extensions for five year periods.

MS. ADCOCK: Was this option filed with the County Recorder?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, it was.
MS. ADCOCK: Do you possibly know when that was done?

MR. WILL FROST: I believe we signed this back in July of 2022, and we would have recorded -- there is a memo at the end of the option that would have been recorded with the Clerk.

MS. ADCOCK: They have not found a record of that.

MR. WILL FROST: Okay. I can look into that.

MS. ADCOCK: So, can we move to the slide when you have a second about the -- the pink slide. So, can you kind of walk us through where this map is and where your project is?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes. So, we are looking at 1400 North right here with 1300 North down to the south. This line here is 150 East.

So, the project would be approximately right within this area.

One thing that is important to note that $I$ have learned through my conversation with the Department of Natural Resources is this right here with the two lines are almost running parallel is one of the main channels for the mine. It was utilized for transport and access to all of the different panels on the east and west side of that main corridor. We are a part of a panel that was mined before 1977, which means we were not, or this portion of the mine was not subject to a lot of the regulations that the Department of Natural Resources imposed on coal mining after the, after 1977. It turns out that is actually a good thing for $u$ in the state or the expected state of the mine under our feet at the project site. The coal mine had decided to start pumping coal ash back into the mine at a certain point after 1977, which needed appropriate permitting by the Department of Natural Resources, and they pumped that coal ash back into the ground with water. That would have
been pumped into, using my cursor, into that channel, and it would have run all of the way south into more of this section down here, and everything else would have been blocked off. We would need to evaluate the, if any of that has gone into our panel of the mine because exposure to water in an open mine is typically what leads to subsidence in the above ground. The exposure to water can loosen clay in the surrounding area that typically borders coal, and can lead to some potential subsidence.

In my conversation with the individual from the Department of Natural Resources his attitude towards this was it is a risk that can be managed. In all likelihood our facility will not impact the mine given it's three to 400 feet underground, but we need to understand the potential for the mine to collapse on its own with or without the system there over the next couple of decades. So, that is something we will be spending a substantial amount of money and time to evaluate prior to constructing the facility. But it seems to be something that we have the ability to mitigate even if there is
the risk. We can go and backfill the mine if needed. We can find engineering solutions to account for any expected subsidence. There are solutions that we haven't explored yet, but it is something -- this is a nine figure investment, and we won't construct it if we don't feel as though it is on stable ground. MS. ADCOCK: Has there been any backfilling done for --

MR. WILL FROST: The only backfilling that has occurred is the pumping of coal ash back into the mine.

MS. ADCOCK: So, as the constituents had shown concerns about the earthquake which did happen just a few weeks ago, what is your procedures to mitigate --

MR. WILL FROST: Our procedure is to mitigate an earthquake are similar to procedures we use to mitigate most other extreme weather events that would occur. That would be part of our evaluation of the mine itself. My concern there would be more of that leading to more substantial mine collapse than a simple subsidence event. It is not simple subsidence,
but a subsidence event.
So, that will be an extensive part of our assessment of the mine and potential impact of an earthquake. The facility itself is a very stable facility. Their enclosures settled on either concrete pads or concrete pillars. An earthquake would have little to no impact to the facility itself. The most sensitive piece of equipment would be the substation, and we have those all over the place.

MS. ADCOCK: I think I asked at the last meeting, forgive me, $I$ do not recall the answer, did you know how much the storage units weighed?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes. It depends on
who we use, whose equipment we use, but we will tend to see a single enclosure is likely to not exceed 30 tons.

MS. ADCOCK: How many enclosures are we going to have?

MR. WILL FROST: Around 100 .
MS. ADCOCK: In your presentation you
mentioned about the lease. It looked like it was about the drainage district though. Can you
walk me through -- is this an addendum to this option that you were speaking of the landowner, or was this a lease with the drainage district?

MR. WILL FROST: It is an amendment to the lease that we have within the option agreement that is currently in place with the current landowner. The motivation for it is conversation that $I$ have had with two of the neighbors on the east side of the property. If you look at -- this is kind of the best place to see it. If you look at the northwest side, you can kind of see a little bit of an outline of $a$ little wet trail. That is a surface drainage ditch that provides a very large benefit to the property owners to the east side of the property. They have communicated with us that that is something they have spent a significant amount of time, and money, and general investment in to allow for the full utilization of their pieces of land on the eastern side of the property. We have worked with them to find a way, the most appropriate way to give them assurances that we will $A$, try to not impact that surface drainage, but if we do, install an
alternate solution for them to assure that the drainage characteristics awarded to them by our project property does not change.

MS. ADCOCK: So, is the flow of water then in the upper right corner down to this stream?

MR. WILL FROST: Down into the drainage canal, yes, ma'am.

MS. ADCOCK: So, the current flow of water is directly into your proposed site?

MR. WILL FROST: So, yes, where this rendering is currently located it would be cutting off the northern portion of that, the drainage ditch as it currently is. One of the solutions in that event could be for us to carve more drainage or more of a ditch down south creating more of a straight line for them than the curve that they have.

A solution that we list, an additional solution that we list in the language is the installation of a 24 inch drainage tile main, a perforated dual wall tile that would be installed and would come out on the neighbor's property, which if that is a solution we use, we
would need to work on an additional agreement with the neighboring property to allow us to construct the pipe onto their property, but that is just another solution that we have discussed with the neighboring landowners.

So, it simply is an amendment to the option, or an amendment to the lease that is a part of the option to ensure that we do not impact the characteristics of the drainage.

MS. ADCOCK: The green to the right is that part of the landowner's property that you are not using?

MR. WILL FROST: Correct.
MS. ADCOCK: So, you are talking about putting a 24 inch to the right of your facility, it would not go underneath your facility?

MR. WILL FROST: We have not committed to the 24 inch drainpipe as the solution in the event we do need to route it around the facility. Let's say we have a future where we need to space the containers a little differently given results we have seen from an analysis of the mine to ensure that we are best situated on the property, we don't know what the
impacts of that might be on an installed drainage tile. So, that is why we haven't committed to that one solution, but we have just committed to the fact that we will not impede the current drainage.

MS. ADCOCK: With the MISO feasibility
study is that different from the interconnection agreement?

MR. WILL FROST: The interconnection agreement is the result, and apologies, the feasibility study is one component of a three phase interconnection study, which results in a general interconnection agreement, and that is an agreement that is negotiated between the, between MISO and the developer that's looking to -- the interconnection customer.

MS. ADCOCK: Can you walk us through those phases, please.

MR. WILL FROST: The first phase is a feasibility study, and my apologies, my engineer would have been the best person to answer this question. Feasibility study is followed by a system impact study, and $I$ am forgetting the name of the third phase there. My explanation
is not going to be good.
MS. ADCOCK: Well, is there any
particular phase that is kind of the hang-up, or
is it just again the shear volume that you mentioned earlier?

MR. WILL FROST: It is the shear volume mainly, and it is the fact that a lot of projects that submit into the queue are generally speculative. People won't know what the results of the study look like. So, a developer might submit ten projects with the final result of only building two.

Every time they study a cluster of projects, which every year there is a new cluster that's submitted, they need to understand the full impact of that entire scope of new projects that are looking to connect. Once results come back, and half of those projects decide that's a cost we can't handle with this project and drop out, they need to re-evaluate the new cluster essentially. So, it is somewhat of a cascading issue, and this is something that's happening in interconnection studies all across the country
right now. That's the main cause. It is the volume of projects and the number of projects that do end up puliing out at some point during the study initiating a re-study.

MS. ADCOCK: Do they provide you with a checklist of what they require for them to review your feasibility?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes. It is frankly a pretty short list of requirements. It is having an appropriate amount of site control showing that you have a real project that you are submitting as well as more electrical specifics, like the full capacity of the project, anticipated usage, how often will you be putting energy onto the grid during what hours of the day, some things like that.

MS. ADCOCK: So, you will have to do for several different mediums then part of that feasibility, this water compressed air as well as Lithium?

MR. WILL FROST: I am sorry mediums for?

MS. ADCOCK: Your battery type.
MR. WILL FROST: No. When we submit to
the interconnection study, we submit as a -- the technology itself, the form of storage that's used is not part of the study. It is the capacity itself and the use of the technology, so storage or generation.

MS. ADCOCK: Is this 300 megawatts
already sold?
MR. WILL FROST: So, our intention --
so, there is two ways these projects will typically sell energy to the electrical grid. One is through a power purchase agreement, which would more than likely be signed with a utility itself. That power purchase agreement you are not exactly selling the electricity to an individual. You are simply locking in a specific price for that energy and the buyer of the energy, buyer in quotations is receiving credits for the renewable aspect of the energy, the renewable energy credits.

It is our intention for this project to be operated on a merchant basis, which means we will not have -- we do not intend to have a contract with the utility for the purchase of the projects. We will simply be operating the
system within the wholesale electricity market, which is regulated by MISO. It is composed of several different markets that address the real energy of the electrical grids, so the buying and selling of the energy itself as well as some of the more ancillary benefits that the system provides, which leads to those stability and reliability benefits.

MS. ADCOCK: I think my last question
is about -- I know we talked last time about everything is in containers so that it is contained; but if there is a release, that is considered toxic fumes, correct?

MR. WILL FROST: No, ma'am. I will let
Eric speak a little bit more to, if you wouldn't mind kind of going through some of the basics.

MR. DORR: I have one question. So, if you are selling this to wherever, what is the value of 300 megawatts?

MR. WILL FROST: So, the value of the 300 megawatts is simply the name plate capacity of the system. It is the energy that we have to sell or to buy from the electrical grid. You typically see an energy pricing on a
per kilowatt basis as opposed to megawatts. It simply is a unit of measure for how much energy the system can take on and can put back onto the grid as it relates to the wholesale electricity market.

MR. DORR: So, if you convert the megawatt to kilowatt, what's that?

MR. WILL FROST: A thousand kilowatts to one megawatt. The value of a kilowatt changes over the course of a day. It is based on the supply and demand for electricity. So, you will see spikes in the cost of energy when there is a demand for it. So, typically in the morning hours when people are waking up and getting ready for work we will see a spike to then see more of a lower plateau in the middle of the day when everyone is at the office, and we are able to rely on more of our base load generation, and then that peeks again in the afternoon to evening when people come home and start running dishwashers and running -MR. DORR: What's the average price? MR. WILL FROST: I do not have an
answer for that. It depends on where -- it
depends on the location. It depends on the energy market you are within. It depends on the amount of energy being used in any given location. I don't have a good answer on an average.

MR. DORR: So, if this is going to cost nine figures, what's the payback on this project?

MR. WILL FROST: We have our own thresholds, return thresholds that we look for prior to deciding to construct a project, and that accounts for the amount we can make over the course of a 25 year life. These projects take a long time to make back the expense or the initial expense of them. It does require that 25 year life to make back that extra cost. MR. DORR: So, you are saying it is going to take 25 years to make your cost back? MR. WILL FROST: Plus the return threshold that is needed for us to construct one of these projects.

MR. DORR: You have a 25 year lease with a five year renewal?

MR. WILL FROST: It is a 35 year lease,
but we make financial decisions based off of a 25 year life span.

MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger, I think before we get into the fire discussion $I$ would like to remind everybody why we were -the motion that was made at the end of the last meeting was to have Counsel review the application considering proximity to the Peabody mine and the other factors in consideration. That was supposed to be done by Mary Barry. So, do you have any comments based on our -- because that's why we stopped.

MS. MARY BARRY: I think it was and maybe I am misremembering. I think that might have been what was said, but $I$ think it was more the members of the Board wanted to look into these factors as opposed to me looking at it. MR. COPENBARGER: So, you don't have any comments?

MS. MARY BARRY: I don't have comments beyond your questioning here. I mean I don't think $I$ have seen a legal question.

MR. COPENBARGER: You don't have anything to bring out before we go on that
hasn't been brought up already?
MS. MARY BARRY: No. I think the leasing was one legal area we were looking at, but beyond that legally no.

MR. CORZINE: I had just a couple
questions, Len Corzine.
One primary one was around in your picture what is going to be -- I assume that's not going to be all concrete. So, what is it, because it is going to have a big effect on surface drainage, and have you done your study to see the watershed, and which way the flow of the water is going to be? Can you talk about that? MR. WILL FROST: Yes. The expectation is for the cover to be gravel, which is considered an impervious surface when it comes to drainage analysis. We have not done a full hydrological analysis of the region at this point. That will be conducted upon an approved permit when we understand there is some feasibility on the interconnection side of things, and we will do a full hydrological scope of the project as we apply for a storm water pollution prevention plan and items like that.

So, there is some empty space on there as you can see. That is largely there for any necessary retention ponds and just drainage management that we need to have on the system itself. There are numerous state and federal regulations and requirements that are put in place to ensure that these systems don't have larger impacts, and we will be subject to all of those.

MR. CORZINE: That's good the gravel part, but you have got 100 of these structures, and each one of those there is going to be run-off from those. So, I don't know whether the gravel would -- you are going to have more surface water come off of there in storms.

So, have you had any contact -- you have said you have talked with the landowners about that, but what about for the surrounding landowners $I$ assume there is a drainage district. Have you had any meetings or conversations with drainage district commissioners looking at this? MR. WILL FROST: Our landowner -- so, our landowner is a commissioner in his own
drainage district here as well as his neighbor to the east. We have spoken with them, and they gave us a communication which Blake was cc'd on in an e-mail which was noting their need for a commitment to, at least the continued drainage across the property for the district's support. They will be heavily included as we do have those studies for them to review, and then the storm water management plans once we get to that point, but yes, we have good contacts within the drainage district that we are in.

MR. CORZINE: I think the AIMA requires that. Now, part of that -- how much are you going to add to your gravel, your base, and all of that as far as elevation? Because it looks like the flow of water is going to come from the northeast to the southwest. So, it looks like there is already some ponding up there in your picture on the northeast. So, that's going to be pretty important. You are going to have to have a system.

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, one thing to note AIMA does not directly apply to battery storage projects. They apply directly to wind and solar
facilities largely because of the larger land impact that they have. That said it is an agreement that $I$ am very familiar with, and I have reviewed in the preparation of this application, and it is something we intend to fully adhere to as necessary from a drainage perspective.

I am sorry, could you repeat the second portion of that?

MR. CORZINE: How much you were going to elevate the area.

MR. WILL FROST: Right, right, I don't
have a good answer on that. That largely
depends on the soil conditions once we do a geotechnical study of the property, and then also have a better sense of which technology we would utilize and what kind of foundation.

Ideally we don't need to remove soils from the facility. That might not be possible. The compaction from the weight of the system itself is likely to not cause too much of an increase in elevation, but frankly $I$ don't have a concrete answer on that for you. MR. CORZINE: AIMA is not required?

MR. WILL FROST: AIMA does not apply to a battery energy storage facility as written, correct.

MR. CORZINE: Thanks. One other question. You talked about on the energy in, energy out. Does that mean that your company when it is operating will be buying and then selling so you keep track of what's coming in, and so you will buy and sell?

MR. WILL FROST: Correct.
MR. CORZINE: It will be an ongoing
process then, right?
MR. WILL FROST: Yes. It will be an ongoing process. There are limitations to how many times we can cycle a battery within a day, and what that cycle looks like based on warranties that we have with the battery supplier.

MR. CORZINE: Batteries will last 35
years?
MR. WILL FROST: The batteries will
degrade over time. Typically an individual battery has a life span of anywhere from 10 to 20 years depending on the battery itself and the
manufacturer. We typically have an expectation that every piece of equipment is going to be replaced at least once over the course of a project's lifetime.

We build an extra space into enclosures. We will typically build an extra space to account for what we call augmentation, which is ensuring the name plate capacity of the facility is upheld. So, as the system degrades we can put in additional batteries, and they will be constantly monitored, and under certain warranties to assure that they do not -- they do not -- they are not utilized beyond their useful and safe life.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Unless we have any further questions of this young man $I$ propose let's take a ten minute break, and come back and dispose of this case, and move onto this next one. This will be special use application from North Pana Solar. So, let's take a ten minute break.

MR. DORR: Can we ask further questions after we resume from the fire expert? Can we ask him questions after we resume?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Yes, we can. (Whereupon the ZBA meeting was in recess.)

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Let's get everybody's attention. Go ahead and talk about the fire risk.

MR. WILL FROST: I have one comment or one thing to share before jumping into that to address the recording of the memo. I did look into some files, and we do have a recorded memo here with Christian County Recorder back in, I believe, June actually of '22.

MS. ADCOCK: Okay. So, the Recorder said the parcels had already a different renewable.

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, they do. There is one, one of the access parcels, it is a similar option for a wind facility. Given the actual parcel itself that can't be constructed on that parcel given the setback requirements based on the federal, $I$ am sorry, based on the state siting regulation that was passed. So, it was a risk we identified early on, and one we don't see that is an impediment to the project
construction, and it is something that our landowner has been communicated to by the company that they are willing to drop at a moment's notice if needed, which we haven't found a need for.

MS. ADCOCK: So, there is two parcels in the project proposal. Both parcel numbers have an agreement with Grand Prairie Wind, and they were filed in 2020.

MR. WILL FROST: Based on our title analysis of the properties that we have signed an option for it is -- of our two project parcels it is the eastern one. If there is one on the western property, it is not one that $I$ am aware of or that -- sorry, it is the western property, the narrow one, that has the option with the wind developer, not the eastern larger parcel.

Based on our review and also my conversations with the landowner it is just that western parcel and one to the south of that as well, but that is not something that we have an option for.

MR. COPENBARGER: Quick follow-up. So,
an option to lease you filed a -- explain what that, $I$ guess $I$ don't understand what that, legally what that document means.

MR. WILL FROST: It is just our requirement to the public to notify that we have an option over the property. So, if someone else doesn't come in -- it is a tool to prevent a landowner potentially from signing several contradicting agreements and the buyers not being aware of it.

MR. COPENBARGER: When would you enter into an agreement?

MR. WILL FROST: So, we would execute on the -- we would execute on the option prior to constructing the project typically after we have signed that general interconnection agreement, and that actual execution is a whole other item that would be recorded to my understanding.

MR. COPENBARGER: So, this is an agreement if that happens, $X$ amount will be -you have already talked about that -- what the agreement will entail if you do it.

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, so this doesn't
have any information on our site lease. It is simply that the confines of the option agreement itself and the portion of land that that is over. The site lease agreement is not part of this memo. It includes just the property descriptions here including the full 55 acres across both properties.

MS. HOWARD: I have a question. MR. WILL FROST: Please. MS. HOWARD: I know we talked about thermal runaway in our last meeting. What are thermal barriers?

MR. WILL FROST: It is a great question, and it plays into the NFPA standards and regulations that $I$ was speaking to that perhaps the largest improvement in the standards of these, the fire safety standards of these systems is the prevention of propagation.

So, if an individual cell does run into thermal runaway, in the instance we have seen in the last couple of years that fire has been contained to that module because of those barriers that prevent that.

MS. HOWARD: So it doesn't spread?

MR. WILL FROST: Exactly, exactly. So, we see with the current standards we are now seeing that fire be contained to the module. The module is part of a battery rack, and then the battery rack is part of the larger enclosure. So, it is not even spreading to an enclosure itself. It is just staying to that one individual module.

Any specific fire questions Eric is an amazing resource to offer some information there. He is an individual who has spent the last six years being one of the people to light these things on fire to understand how they burn, what's coming off of them.

MR. DORR: So, if all of the batteries go up at one time, the container that they are -- it is not going to melt that container?

MR. ERIC WOOD: My name is Eric Wood. I am senior consultant with Energy Safety Response Group. Most of you saw me a little over a month ago. Nice to meet you, sir. So, your question is is what happens to the enclosure if all of the batteries were to ignite. So, the majority of these containers
are made out of steel. The testing that we have done in our lab in Ohio, we have done that testing in enclosures that range anywhere from six feet to 40 feet. Every enclosure that we have lit on fire on purpose the enclosures still remain intact. They don't melt down to the ground, and everything stays within the confines of that enclosure.

MR. DORR: How long does that burn for?
MR. ERIC WOOD: So, to preface from everything that we have done on the testing side and everything that we have seen and implemented into NFPA and Underwriter Laboratories with UL, because we have members within our own company that sit on those panels, and they have helped author the NFPA standard, and they have helped initiate the discussion and what the UL testing standard should look like, what we have found is is that we don't apply water. We just allow it to burn. It is safer. It is easier, and what that allows at the end of the day is for that to burn itself out faster. If we apply water to the enclosure, what is happening is you are preventing that energy from being able to
dissipate. So, by allowing it to burn we obtain what we deem as a clean burn. That clean burn once it initiates, on average when we test these large enclosures four to six hours, and then they burn themselves out. Then there is no more stranded energy. Everything has been completely depleted. Essentially that enclosure becomes nothing more than a paper weight.

So, for us on the testing side what we do is once we burn these things completely out and we verify that there is nothing left in regards to the energy, we end up just transporting it to a recycling agency, and they take it in, and it is all recyclables at that point.

MR. DORR: So, there is no suppression
of the fire, just let it burn?
MR. ERIC WOOD: Correct, correct. I
know there was a question about off gas, and what does that potential look like, and how bad is it. So, what we have found through all of our testing on our own and then additional studies that have been done across the nation from different engineering companies, things of that nature, the three main components that we
see are hydrogen, CO, and CO2. There are similar fluctuations for other things like hydrogen fluoride and hydrogen chloride, but what we have seen with those is that they are in such small nanograms. They are existent, but they are not existent outside of the enclosure. HF being a primary example it is what's deemed as a sticky substance. So, when we have these enclosures and these things light off because it is a sticky substance, it isn't able to get out of the system, and actually stays within that parameter what that enclosure is. So, why we talk about hydrogen, CO, and CO2, those are the ones that we see outside. Now, the question becomes how far away do these gases then pose a threat. What we found through all of our testing and all of our data collection is that we can go 20 feet downwind from it, and we are at levels that are not harmful to anybody.

So, with the NFPA standard that's there what that NFPA standard does it actually takes companies from the time of commission, meaning the time of construction, all of the way to the
decommission, meaning when they tear it down, and how they tear it down, and what that is supposed to look like. So, that document is outrageously long, and if you need to go to sleep for the night, I would recommend reading it because it is a long read.

Essentially what we found is that with the off gas potential anywhere outside of 50 feet the levels that would be considered harmful are not there. So, because NFPA standard is set up in such a way that the majority of these systems have a setback, meaning that it is so far off the road, it is typically so far away from residences. Where the caveat comes in is where we start seeing systems implemented into New York.

So, to answer your question, ma'am, you were asking about is this one of the first systems, it is not. There are numerous systems across the country. This is just one of many systems.

Battery energy storage systems have essentially been in production since the early 2000s. The only thing that's changed now are
the standards and qualifications that are needed to be met to actually put them into commission. Hence the NFPA standard and the UL standards that we have to test to and abide by.

So, there are two different tests that we have to take them through. So, there is a UL9540 and a UL9540A. They are two different tests that verify two separate things, but are both very important to the conditioning of these projects as they go forward. It is not like a company can just make it, and set it, and go. Every company for these systems to be put into production have to verify that they have gone through this rigorous testing process.

That's one of the sides that my company does is we do the UL testing so that these systems we know what they do and how they are effected. We purposely set them on fire. We purposely blow them up. We have even gone to the extent of shooting them to see what happens when you shoot it. So, we can collect that data so that at the end of the day when we go out to do our training and consulting we can give real world information as to what we have seen, and
then how we mitigate that from a fire fighting standpoint.

MR. DORR: So, are these connected together? Is there any way to cascade from one to another?

MR. ERIC WOOD: Typically no. So, usually what happens, and you will find with different manufacturers based off of those testing standards they do a vast array of how they set up their components when they go in. So, sometimes you will have them where they are back to back because the testing that they have gone through they have shown that they cannot propagate from this to this. You have other ones that say we know we are not going to propagate from here to here, but just because of how big our system is we want to have X foot offset between each enclosure. So, it is just dependent upon what that manufacturer is abiding by, and what type of testing standard they are trying to implement.

MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger, I would assume, and $I$ think $I$ am correct in saying that the Emergency Management Director for

Christian County would be the one that would be assisting the fire departments that are in the local area for this. So, there needs to be a plan that he would know about so he would know who to get ahold of because Midland is a small town, they are great guys, but they are limited in their resources. So, you are saying you let it burn. I am not sure that's -- I am not sure that that's all there is to it. I would think there would be need to be perimeter control, perhaps air monitoring. So, I would think we need a plan developed by and for our EMA guy to coordinate with those fire departments in that area to not just let it burn. So, can you get into that a little bit?

MR. ERIC WOOD: I can. So, one of the other things that we do within our company, and that $I$ have had the opportunity to be a part of is we actually write emergency response plans. We also do emergency management plans, and we also do Hazmat mitigation analyses based on these types of systems.

I have had the opportunity to write multiple emergency response plans, EMPs, HMAs,
if you will. Everyone that we write is site specific. So, if this project were to come to fruition, we would have the opportunity if allowed by East Point to actually go and do an emergency response plan for that specific site. A lot of the departments that we find these systems being implemented into are very similar. It is a volunteer organization, limited resources. Having had the opportunity to work in the fire service for the last 20 years $I$ have had the opportunity as a full-time firefighter to work with volunteer organizations. Part of my undergraduate study was emergency management, and then how you mitigate risks when you don't have enough personnel, so being able to talk about efficiency and effectiveness with the least amount of personnel. The nice things with these systems is we don't have to flood it with a lot of people, and because we are not utilizing water to make it go away and we are allowing it to burn the idea of needing an on site water resource typically isn't necessitated. We have had companies that have put water resources on site just in case.

Certain areas in Texas that $I$ have had the opportunity to train with have done that, but a lot of other times departments know where their water resources are. They understand how long it takes for that water shuttle to go from point A to point B, and that's the phenomenal world about volunteer organizations. Those guys can pull water from anywhere. It is really impressive to watch them work. So, when we have discussions with volunteer organizations and we are able to show them what that ERP looks like and how site specific it is, it kind of gives them ease of mind.

So, there is different variations on how we do this. So, one of the ways that we have done it is we have provided that ERP to EMA offices. We have also provided it to EMA and fire, and we have done it where we have not only provided it to both organizations, but we also keep one on site at the actual battery energy storage facility. So, they have multiple resources on hand. If that truck leaves and they don't have one ready, it is already on the site, and they can access it and start getting those resources
into place as they see fit.
MR. WILL FROST: Worth noting we have had a conversation with Jeff Stoner, the county EMA, in conjunction with Aaron Tucker and Dale Eggerman at the Midland Fire Department, and we have discussed that access to water. As noted the idea is to let the system burn, but that doesn't mean there could be a need for water as you mentioned on perimeter control, and just additional assurances for no propagation, and that's a concern that Jeff has expressed, and it is something we are willing to, or we are interested in working with them on, and putting water on the facility, a tanker if needed based on the resources that they have. That's going to be all about, that will be part of our continued conversations with them.

MR. COPENBARGER: I know it is a little complicated, but if these are even accurate like one of those, $I$ will call it a little container shed, that would be where the battery is at. Let's say that whole thing, and I think you said it is compartmentalized, are we talking -- like say that ran away the whole thing, which maybe
it can or can't happen, but say it could, is that a one month fire, a three hour fire? What is it?

MR. ERIC WOOD: Are you talking just referring to one enclosure?

MR. COPENBARGER: One, because the odds of all of them doing that aren't going to be there.

MR. ERIC WOOD: Very slim, yes. So, if we have one enclosure that fully propagates out, six hours, six to eight being worst case scenario. So, one of the things that we also do is we write up what is the worst case scenario, and we build that into that hazard mitigation analyses, right. As a fireman you are always taught, you always think of the worst as you are going to the call; and then if it is not the worst, okay, great dodged that bullet. So, we build that worst case scenario into things that we write for site specific analysis, and we cover that in depth.

MR. COPENBARGER: To address the lady who spoke first, what about air monitoring?

MR. ERIC WOOD: So, with air
monitoring, so one of the things --
MR. COPENBARGER: Like if a fire happened.

MR. ERIC WOOD: Yes. So, one of the things that we have built into every emergency response plan is denoting the use of an air monitor. So, there is different variations of air monitors that are out there. What that air monitoring does is it looks at hydrogen sulfide, carbon monoxide, oxygen levels, and then the fourth one is known as a lower explosive limit. There is a five gas meter that we utilize as well. The four still remain the same, but then that fifth variable that's there is known as volatile organic compounds. So, an equivalent to that would be things like methane, pentane, butane, things along that nature. So, in the ERP that we built out we specifically state in there that any fire personnel operating at a scene if something were to occur, they need to have air monitoring on them at all times to verify what are they looking at.

MR. COPENBARGER: I was thinking more about environmental monitoring in case of a --

MR. ERIC WOOD: So, with the enclosures every system that is built they have different monitoring systems already built into them.

MR. COPENBARGER: I guess if there is a fire, not all of the time, once it releases into the atmosphere would that be where you would set up with the prevailing wind is blowing out of the south, it would go so far out, and set up a monitor to ensure that no hazardous chemicals, whatever got out of the site basically?

MR. ERIC WOOD: Yes, we definitely
build that in. That's already in our ERP.
MR. COPENBARGER: Okay.
MR. ERIC WOOD: Yes, sir.
MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine, what would
you see -- what would we see if one of these went off if we had that happen?

MR. ERIC WOOD: So, there is -- I am going to give you the good scenario, and then $I$ will give you what might be deemed as a bad scenario. So, referring to the BMS that Will spoke about, the battery management system, that is basically the brains of each enclosure. So, every enclosure has a battery management system
in it. That is constantly reading what is happening inside the enclosure twenty-four seven. Then all of that data then gets uploaded into the system that is verified and checked by an operations center.

So, the good scenario is if a battery starts to initiate thermal runaway and starts to have a problem, that battery management system can read everything down to each isolated battery, and then they can deem that there is a problem in this specific area, and then off site at that center they can shut that enclosure off. What that allows that to do then is any energy that was within that enclosure they can dissipate that energy out to the remaining enclosures that are on site and take that one off line. Ideally when they do that, that limits the propagation. That's the good scenario.

The bad scenario is it has already gone past that threshold, and now it is just going to do what it is going to do. So, worst case scenario would be just that. We have an entire enclosure, a single enclosure that's now
starting to light off. Initially what you would see is you would see off-gassing. So, it is not, it is not smoke like what you would see from a house fire essentially. It is colored different. It has a nose tinge to it that when you smell it, if anybody smelled it like an electrical fire, right, that's typically the smell that you get with it. So, typically that nose will tell you right away that something is happening, and then you start hearing things if you are on site, and then you see the off gas. Then as it goes to off gas, as I stated we have the hydrocarbons, the hydrogen, the co, and the CO2 because they are both, all three fairly flammable, a lot of times in a very short duration it will start to just light itself off. Once it lights itself off and goes into that clean burn state, then essentially you will just see flames at that point.

MR. CORZINE: So, anyway it doesn't
keep it contained in the metal case, right? You are talking about it will escape.

MR. ERIC WOOD: It escapes to the point, but it won't propagate to the additional
ones. It will stay there within itself. We have done testing on those where we have had an enclosure here and an enclosure directly adjacent to it, and we have had it where it does not propagate from this one to the next one.

In tests that we have had that, that means that that test has failed, and now they have to go back to the drawing board and figure out how do we make that not happen. So, with the rigorous testing that we do under that UL standard of 9540 and 9540 A they have to clearly show no propagation. Then every data point that they put out into their report also then dictates that they had no propagation from one container to the next.

MR. DORR: The current facilities like this that are up and running now how many fires have there been since inception of them?

MR. ERIC WOOD: They are so slim. We have had a few, and $I$ know if you Google it, there is a few that pop up. In its entirety from all of the ones that have been implemented, commissioned, and are currently working I would say you see less than 2 percent. There is quite
a few out there that are actually in place running right now specifically in California, Texas. They are all over those states. As far as I couldn't provide you with a specific number of how many fires, but they are very, very low and very, very minimal.

MR. GOODRICH: In worst case scenario, Glen Goodrich, they had a major earthquake like you were stating on that, $I$ think that would be your lowest priority to go out. You would be more concerned about FS. You would be concerned about your own house and your natural gas line breaking, and your house is on fire and half the City of Kincaid and Pawnee would be more in trouble. They wouldn't go out there. They would be worried about saving what's around the firehouse before they go out there. That's self contained, and it isn't going to spread unless I am incorrect, wouldn't that be correct? You save your most important access close to the firehouse, personal homes, personal property, and lives than you would that because that's nowhere near anybody's house that's going to cause any major immediate damage. Even if it
fell, let's say an earthquake, let's say it fell
12 foot, and that may catch some of that coal mine on fire, you are not worried about that right now. You are worried about your house on fire.

MR. ERIC WOOD: One of the nice things about EMA is they go through and they look at a multitude of things that this area would encounter, right. So, they look at worst case scenarios, tornado, earthquakes for this specific area, and there is a ranking system that they start putting them into to determine what is the most significant thing that we need to be worried about in this specific area. So, I am sure that the individual that we spoke to last month they have all that down, and they know exactly what they need to look for, and where those resources then become allocated in that worst case scenario should it occur. MR. GOODRICH: They don't have the manpower. They can't send one truck there, and then have the town on fire. MR. ERIC WOOD: Right. MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine, just a
comment. I would think actually in this particular area kind of going on what was mentioned in the public comments, more than an earthquake would be the mine subsidence issues that we could have, and $I$ think we need to see a plan of how you mitigate that. Because the drops can be pretty significant pretty quickly, and so then you wouldn't be worrying about the town earthquake, you are right, but this would be more of an issue, I think, the mine subsidence.

MR. ERIC WOOD: That would fall under the geotechnical study that they would work on. That's not in the realm that we focus on. So, ours would be more based on just the fire risk reduction, and then how fire departments come in and mitigate anything from that standpoint. So, one of the things that we would implement within this $\operatorname{ERP}$ is the fact that you have seen multiple earthquakes within this area. So, that would also be part of that ERP that we would still have that in there as denoted to be aware of just because again it is more of that over arching 20,000 foot view that we want to
put into that report so that at least everybody is aware especially if there are multiple mutual aid companies coming in to help service in that area. So, again that would be part of that ERP. MR. WILL FROST: We are sitting on several proposals for a full evaluation of the mine from firms whose job it is to work underground. It is simply a study we intend to do once we understand the project being a little bit more feasible from an interconnection standpoint given the intrusiveness that would cause to the property for the need to bore down three to 400 feet as well as the overall cost of that analysis, but we are sitting on some proposals with very highly qualified people to give us that information and to fully understand the risks and mitigation tactics we need to utilize to address that risk.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Any further questions? Adrian.

MS. ADCOCK: So, your risk factors are actually obtaining permits, interconnection agreements, and then geotechnical studies before you get to a feasibility situation?

MR. WILL FROST: We are looking at the zoning approval as that initial step on interconnection as well as geotechnical. We have yet to make a decision on whether -- we will more than likely move forward on initial phase one geotechnical studies for an evaluation of the mine before we submit to the interconnection study. It is just such a large cost that we would rather at least understand the level of risk that we are up against from a geotechnical perspective, but that is a significant cost in itself, and is something we are looking to do on approval of a zoning permit.

MS. ADCOCK: So, interconnection you mentioned was several hundred thousand, six to seven figure. What is a geotechnical?

MR. WILL FROST: Geotechnical we are looking at six. A full geotechnical analysis we are looking at six figures. An interconnection study given the direction they are going in modifying aspects of that study we are pretty securely in seven figures at this point for the size of system we are looking to do. They
are -- in an effort to reduce the number of projects submitting to the queue they are almost doubling the deposit cost for these projects for future study cycles, which is what this project would be a part of. So, it just further increases our need to evaluate those risks and understand our mitigation pathways before we submit to that interconnection study.

MR. COPENBARGER: I think you went
through this before, but real quick what's the economic benefit to the County in tax dollars, not construction? Once this is built, what will we get?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, and this is a piece that is -- I am glad you asked the question. There is a bit of ambiguity that is still left in the tax side of things across the entire State. The State of Illinois does not -the State of Illinois is a state that does not charge personal property taxes. Assessors are being told to assess these as personal property, which means a lot of the equipment isn't going to be taxable under current legislation. If you look at technologies like wind and solar, that
was a similar problem that they faced, and we now have, the State of Illinois now has legislation in place for how to assess those developments on a per megawatt, a capacity basis that provides that revenue for the localities in which those systems are built. That isn't in place yet for storage. It is something myself as a developer and us as a company are pushing for the State to work on. It is in the horizon. We have had some conversations with some of the relevant departments in the State of Illinois. That being said based on those conversations we expect guidance to be in place by the time this project is constructed. That said we continuously expressed our interest and willingness to Chairman Sharp as well as Assessor Chad Coady and the economic development corporation here. We are interested in making sure if the County would like to see some sort of bridge in the event that that legislation hasn't been put in place by the time this project is constructed that we have some sort of bridge agreement to ensure that the county is, in fact, receiving the full value of that tax
benefit because right now all you are going to see is the change in real property based on the use of the property and the sales tax that would bring the system itself into the county.

MR. COPENBARGER: So, I guess I am -Blake, you may know. Say we approve this with stipulations, then it would be your responsibility as the process goes through to check that, like we have done that on some other jobs?

MR. BLAKE TARR: That's correct.
MR. COPENBARGER: You talk about how would -- okay, so let's say you, I don't know who this agreement would be for the county, that seems kind of -- so, in other words, say $I$ am just going to throw a number out, say you agree to pay $\$ 100,000.00$ a year, $I$ am just throwing out a number. Then the State of Illinois in 2026 says these will be assessed at 200,000 a year. Then you would say this was a bridge, and now there is something in place, so this will be what it would be. Is that it in a simplified way?

MR. WILL FROST: Yes, that would be our
preference to have the bridge that would eventually be taken over by state legislation. Obviously it would be a negotiation between us and the County, and just a conversation to see what the specifics of that agreement would look like.

MR. COPENBARGER: Is that the job of this Board or is that the County Board to come up with all of the -- do you know?

MR. BLAKE TARR: I don't know. That's a good question.

MS. ADCOCK: I think our responsibility is to take the factors in consideration and the health and safety.

MR. WILL FROST: It is my understanding that Chairman Sharp and the County Board would be more involved in that agreement. It is a conversation that $I$ have had with him once before, and acknowledged our interest and willingness to have that conversation. So, we are waiting for the appropriate people to reach out to us to start that conversation.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Are we ready for a vote, or should we kick this over? Do we need

```
    time to discuss this among ourselves and think
    about it some more? What do you think?
    MS. MARY BARRY: I think the discussion
    is supposed to be public.
    MS. ADCOCK: Correct, it is. Do we
    want to talk through our concerns or benefits,
    or what do we want to do?
        MS. HOWARD: I am sorry.
        MS. ADCOCK: I said do we want to talk
    through our concerns or what we see the benefits
    are?
```

        MR. DORR: Sure. Do you have concerns?
        MS. ADCOCK: Well, from the water
    perspective it looks like we have a couple
        issues. We have visible drainage that they have
        addressed, and then there is also what we are
        going to do with water for a fire. They have
    some plausible solutions, but they are both
    ideas, right. The mine subsidence we have
    identified as a big risk. It is a big concern
    of the constituents, and what liability does
        that put the County at?
        MR. WILL FROST: May I comment on
    subsidence liability?
    MS. ADCOCK: We moved to discussion. Mr. Chairman, we moved to discussion. Can we have interjections, or is that -- Counsel?

MR. BLAKE TARR: Repeat the question, please.

MS. ADCOCK: When we moved to discussion can we have interjection, or does that need to remain within the Board to discuss it?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I didn't quite catch your question. We are going to have a meeting tomorrow.

MS. ADCOCK: We were talking through something, and Mr. Frost wanted to interject. I said can we do that according to our rules?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I am sorry, I didn't quite catch you again.

MR. COPENBARGER: What she is asking is we were discussing this amongst the Board. Can Mr. Frost since we moved into a Board discussion interject his comments per rules of order. That's Adrian's question.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: I don't know.
MR. WILL FROST: I will rescind my
interjection.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: It would not be kosher. I am sure.

MR. COPENBARGER: Well, should we just go around the table? Go ahead.

MS. ADCOCK: On the decommissioning plan it is with the landowner currently. It doesn't really address right now with anything to do with the drainage district. Since it doesn't have to comply with AIMA, that's something that $I$ don't think this Board has had to look at for awhile.

I thought it was interesting on the median that the Lithium there was that other option that was a bit lighter. I think does that pose a better opportunity for this particular area with the mine subsidence. With the fire expertise they brought is exceptional. I appreciate everything that you have brought to the table, but we don't have a plan yet. So, that's kind of a hindrance that we can't include the public that they can share their concerns about what the EMA response would be if we move forward currently today.

MR. COPENBARGER: What if we -- all
valid concerns. What if we address those concerns in our motion, that these, here is the dot points of the things that have to be addressed during the project to be approved. Because the other option is it gets rejected, and they have to come back with the same -- is that what you were thinking?

MS. ADCOCK: That's where you are at is can at this point we even identify all of the conditions, or do they come up with a deeper understanding of what exactly they are going to propose and implement and build.

MR. DORR: The biggest thing is the drainage issue.

MS. ADCOCK: Subsidence and drainage is an issue. I guess $I$ was not aware that we have residents who are potentially losing their houses, and having studies done and their building is being moved five inches. MR. COPENBARGER: Springfield has I think with subsidence.

MS. MARY BARRY: This isn't a substantive concern, but you are looking to
approve an exception to the special use permits that are generally two years with construction beginning at, must begin at one. I think that's the current standard. So, they are asking for a four year, and no construction for four years. So, almost by definition you are going to be asking for an extension, right?

MR. WILL FROST: The extension is
simply -- it was an additional variance that would be on it. If that is not an option, that is not an option. We understand.

MS. HOWARD: Are we voting on an
extension of the application?
MS. MARY BARRY: No, I was suggesting if you are going to do something today, they are asking you to pass a four year permit, and currently we only allow two, and building must begin within one or you lose the permit. So, that's just something to consider from a legal perspective.

MR. COPENBARGER: I am not sure that's really fair to the County and the previous people who have petitioned for a zoning change to give a variance. I don't think that's really
right. So, if we approve -- if we were to approve this, we would do a two year, and you would just know that they are going to have to -- they are going to have to look at all kinds of stuff anyway. Then they would have to come back and get another approval. If they are going to start construction in four years, it is going to run out. Then they will have to reapply for another variance, $I$ guess, is that correct?

MR. DORR: Yes. We have had that happen before with some of the solar farms. They never started the project, and had to come back. So, there is no real difference.

MR. COPENBARGER: No. We had this
exactly happen on the one that's right by them where they found out they have subsidence. They had to move the whole thing over. So, they had to reapply.

MR. DORR: Right, but that was the moving of the facility. Like the one up by Edinburg that was approved many years ago they never started anything, and they had to come back and reapply for that.

MR. COPENBARGER: Right.
MR. DORR: So, I mean I don't like the idea of giving a four year.

MR. COPENBARGER: I don't either.
MR. DORR: I would do the regular, and
then if some of the studies are done and they come back and reapply, we have a drainage and we have the mine subsidence study, that's just part of the procedure. I don't think we can change the rules for one and not everybody.

MR. CORZINE: I guess my part, and I am not sure how the process works. I can understand the company wanting to get this permit in place before they move forward, but to me it is sort of putting the cart ahead of the horse. We should have some of these questions answered before we give the permit.

Like if it takes four years to get the MISO evaluation done, well, do it, and then when you are in that process, then come to us for a permit. Why do we have to give a permit before they go ahead with their studies? Is it normally done the other way around?

MR. DORR: Not always. I mean we give
them a time frame. You get two years. If you can't get it done within that time frame, you have to come back, reapply, pay another fee, go through the whole process; but if you have more information, like you have drainage study and you have mine subsidence study, then boom, we have more information to base that on, and the County Board has more information to base that on. All we are doing is gathering information for the County Board.

MR. CORZINE: Okay.
MR. DORR: If that can't be done within
that time frame, $I$ mean that's not our problem.
MS. ADCOCK: The project that we
listened to this summer though, I mean the weight of a solar panel, $I$ assume it is not 300 tons, and they had to move part of their project.

MR. DORR: But did they have a study done?

MS. ADCOCK: Yes, they did submit it as a part of their application, but yes, they sought outside professional geotechnical support.

MR. DORR: We approved that project.
They had to come back, got their study done, and decided to move it, and had to come back and reapply. So, it would be no difference. If we approved the special use for two years, and they had to change it, then they would have to come back and move it or whatever.

MS. ADCOCK: Right.
MS. HOWARD: What are we asking of them?

MR. DORR: They are wanting a four year agreement, and normally we do a two year. MS. HOWARD: The extension. MR. DORR: Right. MS. MARY BARRY: I am having technical difficulties. I know we just tweaked the language on it is two years, but then they can ask --

MS. ADCOCK: I thought it was actually one year.

MS. MARY BARRY: Is it one year? I
can't get into it. The website is down.
MS. ADCOCK: It is four extensions.
MS. MARY BARRY: One year with four
potential extensions?
MS. ADCOCK: Six month extensions.
MS. MARY BARRY: Six month extensions that require approval of the County Board.

MS. ADCOCK: Correct.
MS. MARY BARRY: Just so we are all working on the time frames, and $I$ can't get in to get the new statute or ordinance, but that's the gist.

MR. DORR: So, if one year is approved, it doesn't mean the project is going to be completed. It is just going to be they have to get whatever done, and decide if they are going to spend the money to do this, and then come back and reapply.

MR. GOODRICH: Or they may find out they have a big problem, they won't build it at all. That's an issue too.

MR. DORR: Right. So, like I said, we have two options. We can approve the one year, not approve one year, require them to come back with the studies on the drainage and the mine subsidence, and then resubmit it. I mean to me that's the options that we have.

MR. COPENBARGER: So, you are saying we add that to our motion?

MR. DORR: If you wanted to do -- I
mean you could deny it saying that once you get a drainage plan and a mine subsidence plan, come back and reapply.

MR. COPENBARGER: Or?
MR. DORR: Or we can approve one year or deny one year. We can -- the special use we can approve it, deny it, or put stipulations in to come back and reapply. That's the only options that we have, but then the County Board can do whatever they want to do on top of that.

MR. GOODRICH: Even if we deny it, they can still do their studies, $I$ think. MR. DORR: Oh, yes. MR. COPENBARGER: It is just a gamble on their part, although it is a gamble if they can't get it done in two years anyway. It might not get approved the next time.

MR. DORR: Either way. MR. COPENBARGER: Right.

MR. DORR: I mean it is a lot of new technology and everything, but it is the future.

It is going to happen one way or another. So, we just have to decide if we are going to give them a time frame to get it done, or put requirements on them to get studies done before we -- I don't know about what you guys think but --

MS. HOWARD: So, we need a motion. MR. CORZINE: So, a question, sorry. If we denied it with cause or say come back with the mine subsidence plan and a drainage plan, can they come back fairly quickly as soon as they get that done, or is there a time limit? MR. DORR: No, there is no time limit. MR. COPENBARGER: Well, we passed a one year, you can't come back for a year.

MR. DORR: Right. If you do the one year, but if we say no, we are not going to do this, we want those two studies done prior to submitting this, resubmitting it.

MR. COPENBARGER: I don't know about that. What do you think of that, Mary? MS. MARY BARRY: Again $I$ can't get into the zoning code. There is something wrong with the connection. The way $I$ recall it is you
cannot come back for 12 months unless the Chairman in consultation with the Zoning Administrator recognizes that there is a change in circumstances in the particular area where this is happening, or whether there is a significant change into the actual SUP that warrants it coming back within 12 months. So, for instance, possibly if they had all their studies done, that might warrant it. That would be like Blake and Bryan deciding that.

MR. DORR: So, you can either do that, you can do one year approval, or you can deny the application that's on the table right now, three options.

MR. GOODRICH: Personally I would think it would take more than a year to get your test done, correct, or not? It would take more than a year $I$ would think.

MR. WILL FROST: I can't say for sure.
Some of it will depend on when we can submit or may depend on when we can submit to the interconnection queue where they are still working through some changes and don't have a set date for when they will reopen an
application window.
Our general practice is a denied permit is a denied permit, and that's an environment that we likely won't spend that kind of money to do the study. An approved permit with a timeline in order to do so is a much more friendly environment from our perspective where we see more of a precedent and likelihood potential for an approved permit.

I would say from -- my perspective is someone with leadership above them who inevitably is making a decision on spend is that I would not get the approval to conduct those studies without an approved permit. An approved permit with conditions is what we typically see in these situations, which allows us the confidence and the space to spend the money on those studies.

MR. DORR: That's what $I$ am saying.
You can approve it with the time frame, and if they don't make those additional steps, and then they can come back if it runs out and reapply. MR. COPENBARGER: But they really have two years, wouldn't they, Joe? You keep saying
it is one year.
MS. ADCOCK: It is one year with four
six month extensions.
MR. COPENBARGER: Okay, got you.
MR. DORR: So, we can do that, or you
can say we want the studies done prior to you
applying.
MR. COPENBARGER: Well, I guess my
thought would be if they produce the geotech
study that proves that the soil is stable, or
they will do whatever they have to do to improve
it to make it stable, and the geotechnical or
the drainage details get worked out, and it
sounds like the fire protection that emergency
management, emergency response by ERP could be
done, and it sounds like you know it. So, I
guess in that case $I$ would be willing to make a
motion that we approve this, and $I$ would like to
say the motion would be based on the
geotechnical -- I don't know if $I$ can do that
though, can I. Could we have the geotechnical
report? I am just -- hold my motion. Can we
have the geotechnical report before the end of
that? Is that what you were getting at, that we
would do that? Then if we don't have it, then they will have to --

MR. DORR: As long as we get the
drainage report and the geotechnical report prior to construction, and like I said, if they don't do it within the year's time, then they can renew.

MR. CORZINE: Is that where you would give approval with conditions, and the conditions in this time frame we have got to have the drainage report and the mine subsidence report? Is that what you are thinking, Joe? MR. DORR: Yes. MR. COPENBARGER: That's kind of what I thought.

MS. HOWARD: What about drainage?
MR. DORR: Drainage and mine
subsidence. That way they said they have to have a permit, it is a permit with conditions, and they can decide if they want to do that or not; and if they do, and it takes longer than the time frame, then they can reapply. If they don't want to invest in those prior to, then that's it.

MS. MARY BARRY: So far $I$ have the list as the drainage and mine subsidence. Did $I$ hear somebody say the FEMA?

MS. ADCOCK: EMA, E-M-A.
MR. COPENBARGER: Early emergency
response plan.
MR. ERIC WOOD: An ERP.
MR. COPENBARGER: That was approved by the County.

MR. DORR: So, we have a motion to approve.

MS. MARY BARRY: I just want to make sure everybody -- is there anything else on the list before we do this?

MS. HOWARD: Read them again, please.
MS. MARY BARRY: Drainage, mine
subsidence study, and emergency response plan acceptable to the County. I mean $I$ think in all cases we want them to be acceptable. They may be willing, more willing to accept the risk than we would, correct?

MR. GOODRICH: Right.
MR. CORZINE: Maybe more than mine subsidence study, we want a plan, study and plan
in each of these.
MR. COPENBARGER: I would assume the geotechnical engineer would based on the weights they are putting on there, based on they are going to drill holes, they are going to look for the mined out areas, determine the soil structure and all that stuff to determine if that can hold that. That's what they are going to have to do.

MR. DORR: So, we have a motion, correct?

MR. COPENBARGER: Do you want me to repeat that? MR. CORZINE: Yes. MR. COPENBARGER: I make a motion we approve the zoning amendment with the contingency that the geotechnical study is done to ensure there will be no mine subsidence, that the drainage study is complete to ensure that the drainage system as is now will not be disturbed, and that an emergency response plan be presented that's acceptable to the County. MR. DORR: I will second it. CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Okay, a motion has
been made and seconded.
MS. HOWARD: For what length of time?
MR. COPENBARGER: The normal.
MR. DORR: Normal, just like anybody
else.
MS. HOWARD: What would that be?
MR. COPENBARGER: One year.
MR. DORR: With the option to extend it.

MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Okay. Would you care to read that, read that back if you could. (Whereupon the reporter then read the requested testimony.)

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: A motion has been made and seconded. Is there any further discussion? Call for questions? All in favor?

MR. BLAKE TARR: You want to do roll-call?

MR. DORR: Roll-call.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Do you want to do roll-call?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Okay, Dave

Copenbarger.
MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Adrian Adcock.
MS. ADCOCK: No.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Len Corzine.
MR. CORZINE: No.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Joe Dorr.

MR. DORR: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Glen Goodrich.
MR. GOODRICH: Yes.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Joann Howard.
MS. HOWARD: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Jim Overholt.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Abstain.
MR. BLAKE TARR: So, we have got four yeses, two noes, one abstention, motion carries.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Okay.
MS. MARY BARRY: As a point of
clarification this now goes to the Board, who may have their own conditions.

MR. WILL FROST: Correct. Thank you
all for the time and attention. It is greatly appreciated.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: The second item of
business this evening is a zoning special use application from North Pana Solar, LLC. Is the application complete?

MR. BLAKE TARR: I have included
everything that they provided with the zoning office in the Board's packet.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Has a filing fee been paid in full?

MR. BLAKE TARR: Yes, it has been paid in full.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Parcel number of the property that is affected is 11-25-09-400-004-070 and the address is 2,000 feet north of the intersection of East 400 North Road and North 2400 East Road, Section 9, Township 11 North in Pana Township.

Are there any questions from the Board? At this time we will take any public comments regarding this proposal. As a reminder, please address the Board Chairman, state your name, and you will have three minutes to speak. I suppose that's -- sir, would you please stand up and come forward.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: My name is William

Shay. I am an attorney for the applicant. I am not an employee. I am with a law firm in Peoria. You have my information, contact information.

So, Mr. Reuben Grandon has been the manager of this project and has spent a lot of time on the ground in Illinois in connection with many projects that the company has been trying to develop here. The company could not have a representative here tonight. So, I do legal work for the company, and so they asked me if $I$ could attend. So, I drove down from Peoria for this. I would be happy to -- I don't have all of the technical information about the project that Mr. Grandon has, but $I$ will do my best to answer any questions any of you have.

MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger, it
appears that -- we call it a checklist, the things that we, information we request from the applicant. The person applying has not agreed to contact the adjacent landowners. So, there is a house right in the middle of this solar farm, and as $I$ understand it nobody has contacted them.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: That may be true. I
don't know for sure, but $I$ do know that the company, and $I$ have had experience in working with them in other counties, and $I$ have had that similar question, and $I$ have seen other developers in Illinois have similar circumstances where there is neighboring landowner, and typically the developer does not contact neighboring landowners, and I think there are certainly good reasons for that, and they leave it up to the underlying landowner, $I$ mean the project developer, I am sorry, the project developer does not normally contact neighboring landowners, and leaves it up to the landowner to do so, and if the landowner wants the developer to talk to the neighbors, then they will do so. So, that's typically how, my experience of how it works.

MR. COPENBARGER: Well, we have typically had the developer contact. It is a requirement, isn't it, Blake?

MR. BLAKE TARR: Yes.
MR. COPENBARGER: Also this is within, I believe, a mile and a half of the City of

Pana, and they have to be notified as well. As far as $I$ know nobody has notified anybody. MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. I am not aware of any, whether they have notified Pana. MR. COPENBARGER: That was a question, I guess.

MS. MARY BARRY: Not just of the project but also of this hearing, that's what the notification should be, correct?

MR. DORR: Yes. So, if they want to -MS. MARY BARRY: To allow them to come to a hearing.

MR. DORR: Right, to comment, but $I$ was not at the last meeting, but $I$ read the minutes, and it sounded like there was a lot of people in attendance.

MS. ADCOCK: That was a different one. MR. COPENBARGER: That was a different one. That was another solar in Pana by the high school. It is kind of confusing. This is a new one.

MR. DORR: This is a brand-new one. MR. COPENBARGER: We didn't table that one. We rejected it.

MR. DORR: Okay.
MS. HOWARD: It was Pivot, wasn't it?

MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.

MS. HOWARD: Pivot.

MR. DORR: So, are these -- do you know if these plans are set in stone that they submitted here? Like this person that's surrounded by this, will they be willing to alter this in any way? Do you know that? MR. WILLIAM SHAY: I don't know. I think the site plan, the one that you have isn't necessarily the final. They might alter it within the footprint of the property based on further due diligence and studies, analyses they do. Sometimes the interconnection process with the utility, in this case Ameren, could affect that depending on whether there is sufficient capacity on the Ameren electrical conductor that this would connect to for the 4.99 megawatts or whether they would have to decrease the size of the project to accommodate a lesser capacity on that line and at the substation where it would feed into. I know the interconnection process with Ameren is underway, but it is not complete.

MR. DORR: Well, I mean to save us a lot of time, $I$ really think that whoever submitted this application needs to be here, and the adjoining landowners need to be notified so they can come and make public comments because that's what this is about. So, until that's done $I$ think this is a moot point in my opinion. I am sorry you came all of the way from Peoria, but it is required in our ordinance that the neighboring landowners be notified so they can come and air their --

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Does the ordinance require the developer to notify neighbors?

MR. DORR: Yes.
MS. MARY BARRY: Yes, and the
municipality, if it is within 1.5 miles of the municipality.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. I mean I have looked through the ordinance. I don't remember seeing that. I don't question that.

I will say we have an issue with counties' ordinances following the passage of the State Siting Statute as $I$ am sure you are aware of in January of this year, and counties are all
required to amend their local zoning ordinances for wind and solar projects to comply with the state statute. As $I$ understand it this County has not yet done that.

MS. MARY BARRY: We have.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Oh, you have.
MS. MARY BARRY: Yes.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Just recently?
MS. MARY BARRY: Yes.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. I wasn't
aware of that. So, thank you.
MS. MARY BARRY: I think it was
October. I can get you the date on that, and $I$ can get you a copy of that because I don't think it is live on our site.

MR. COPENBARGER: I think --
MS. MARY BARRY: I will make sure to get that to you.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay, one moment, please.

MS. MARY BARRY: We just passed one I want to say at the beginning of this month. I am going to have to look at the date of the special -- I don't have the information. I am
locked out of my computer. It was in November at a special meeting, so like the 6th or something like that.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Well, I guess if --
MR. COPENBARGER: Can I make a comment?
I guess here is my way of looking at this. This
is agricultural country. This is an
agricultural piece of property. So, we are doing a special use on an agricultural piece of property. People live in the agricultural area because they wanted to live in that area. So, in no way is it fair for them not to be able to be involved in this decision process, and we have always done it that way before. The landowners are notified of the meetings that are adjacent, and then they have been present.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: I fully understand.
I have heard and seen that point made recently in other counties. Just from a legal standpoint the way $I$ understand the state statute unfortunately it doesn't require notifications to neighboring landowners, and personally I think it should have, but it doesn't. So, they find out either through, if there is a
publication, if they check the agendas. They have to be posted 48 hours in advance before the meeting. I mean that's a big burden on landowners. The state statute doesn't require that, and if the County is going to require that notification, then it is arguably then inconsistent with the state statute. I am not here to make a legal issue of it, but that's just kind of how it is.

MR. COPENBARGER: Mary, can't the County be more restrictive than the state?

MS. MARY BARRY: There is a lot of open questions on this. Because the state statute doesn't address notices at all. So, arguably that is still left, and again we are not going to litigate this tonight, it is still left at the County level. But the point is our rules require a neighbor to have the ability to come to speak to the Zoning Board and to the County Board.

The same thing with the municipality, when you are in that blended area to make sure, and we don't know what the City would say. We don't know if they have zoning plans or anything like
that, but we believe that they should have a right to come and speak. I don't know that $I$ view that as a restriction. This is not just solar. This is on any special use application. It is not only on solar. So, I mean is one way to fix this we will just go ahead and notify that landowner, and we will notify the City, and we will give them the opportunity, we will make specifically the opportunity to come when your project lead is able to come back at the next scheduled meeting of the ZBA, and that way we will be able to hear the input of other folks.

MS. ADCOCK: Then we also have the full proposal of what panels we are using, and your construction time lines, and those types of things.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Well, as far as -- I can speak to those items if $I$ may. Again there is another project, a set of projects up in Bureau County as well, and the question about the panels came up there, and $I$ was there with Mr. Grandon last week, and the answer was that they do not yet know which panels. There are
several potential panel suppliers, and they don't, they are not at the point in the development of the project where they specify that. As far as the timeline that will hinge largely on how soon they can get interconnection agreement with Ameren, but based on the present projections they anticipate constructing this next year right after the fall harvest. Then that would be concluded in 2025 .

MR. CorzINE: Len Corzine, Mr. Shay, I am kind of like Joe, I mean the project people, the lead ought to be here because this is a big deal.

On top of that a lot of things in 4412 were pushed over to the AIMA agreement. It says right in the first paragraph of the AIMA agreement, it says that the construction and deconstruction is going to be developed with the cooperation of ag agencies, organizations, landowners, tenants, drainage contractors, and solar energy companies to comprise the AIMA. Apparently they have done none of that because nobody as far as the drainage contractors, tenants, landowners other than the landowner
that's where the project is going to be, knows anything about it.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: I am sorry, where are you reading from?

MR. CORZINE: The first paragraph of the AIMA agreement.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: That starts pursuant to the renewable energy facilities.

MR. CORZINE: Right.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. Are you
reading the sentence they were developed with the cooperation of?

MR. CORZINE: Yes.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. That means
that the standards and policies required by the IDOA were developed with the cooperation of these agencies. That does not mean that this applicant was to do all these things. That's what the standards, that's how the standards were developed. That's what that means.

MR. CORZINE: Well, but the AIMA does get altered for different projects. It is not --

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: No, it doesn't. The

AIMA is a standard State of Illinois agreement developed by the Department of Agriculture, and it is signed in exactly the same way by every solar developer in the State. The wind developers have a separate AIMA agreement. Pipeline developers have a separate one, and electric transmission line developers such as Ameren they have their own form of AIMA. Those are standard forms. They are not negotiable, and they are signed with the Department of Agriculture.

MR. CORZINE: So, I thought there was some alterations with each project because they have to look at each project.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: The Department of Agriculture does not do that. Now, when you say variation, now what the AIMA does allow is for certain parts of it if the landowner agrees differently with the developer, they can alter it such as segregation of topsoil, or how damaged drainage tile lines can be repaired. Sometimes those are agreed to differently between the landowner and the developer, and that overrides the AIMA. The AIMA itself is
never -- that doesn't change from one developer to the next, or one project to the next.

MS. ADCOCK: Well, $I$ think where we are at is that we still have to call, we have to contact our neighboring landowners, correct?

MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.
MS. ADCOCK: I make a motion that
Blake, you will need to contact all participating and non-participating landowners, and contact the municipality of Pana to ensure they are aware of this project.

MS. HOWARD: I will second that.
MR. COPENBARGER: I guess I would like
to amend that we would table it, and we would
like a representative of commercial solar energy, if that's you, if they deem that's you, that's fine, to be here to answer some of the technical questions. And again if you are that representative, that would be fine. I think we need -- it is important that a representative -we have the landowners come in, but if nobody shows up next time from the developer then -so, we need somebody here. So, you can let them know that too, right.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Yes.
MR. DORR: I will second it.
MS. MARY BARRY: I guess rather than
table are we just continuing over the hearing to the next regularly scheduled ZBA?

MR. GOODRICH: That would be tomorrow.
MS. ADCOCK: No, because it has to be posted for more than so many --

MS. HOWARD: What are we doing?
MR. DORR: I would say table it because if somebody has a scheduling conflict, that's going to have to be worked out. If the representative can't be here next month because you are getting into the holidays --

MS. ADCOCK: We are going to have to have the municipality if they have to go through any jurisdictions.

MS. MARY BARRY: I think tabling it, but we all understand we will work together once everybody has been notified to come back and actually have a hearing.

MR. COPENBARGER: Continuation will be the next meeting, table would be when everybody was in agreement to --

MR. DORR: Right. Like I said normal
next scheduled meeting is going to be right between Christmas and New Year's. So, that's going to be hard for some people, even their representatives and the landowner, some of us. So, I think it is tabled until we can get everybody here to discuss this.

MR. CORZINE: So, tabled?
MS. ADCOCK: Yes.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: So. The motion has been made and passed and seconded to table.

MS. HOWARD: Can that motion be read again, please.

MS. MARY BARRY: A motion to table this to provide time for Blake to give the proper notification and to make sure that everybody has an opportunity to find the date that works for a quorum and for representatives of the company.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: All right. There
is a restatement of the motion. All in favor. Roll-call vote, please.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Jim Overholt.
CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Abstain.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Adrian Adcock.

MS. ADCOCK: Yes.

MR. BLAKE TARR: Dave Copenbarger.
MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Len Corzine.
MR. CORZINE: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Joe Dorr.
MR. DORR: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Glen Goodrich.
MR. GOODRICH: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Joann Howard.

MS. HOWARD: Yes.
MR. BLAKE TARR: Motion was approved to table.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Okay.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Could I ask a question as a point of order?

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: Go right ahead.
MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Is that vote a final
decision, or is that going to be a
recommendation to the County Board?
MR. COPENBARGER: It will come back
here.
MS. MARY BARRY: It just sits here. It is not a final. It is staying here.

MR. WILLIAM SHAY: So, I need to talk to my folks, and so in order to tell them when we might have the next session, $I$ am not clear on that.

MS. MARY BARRY: That would be Blake. MR. BLAKE TARR: They would coordinate with me. It probably will be more likely the first of the year before we come back here, probably in January is my best guess. MR. WILLIAM SHAY: Okay. Most likely someone from the company will be here, not me. MR. BLAKE TARR: That's fine, no problem.

CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: The Chair would entertain a motion to adjourn. MS. ADCOCK: I will make a first. MR. COPENBARGER: Second. CHAIRMAN OVERHOLT: All in favor. ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS MEMBERS: Aye. (Which were all of the proceedings had on this meeting as of this date.)
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